



'DEMOCRACY' SHOWS ITS TRUE COLOURS

Local authorities try to deny British National Party freedom of assembly

THE INSTITUTIONS OF 'DEMOCRACY' once again revealed their true colours recently in the efforts by local authorities to prevent the British National Party hiring publicly-owned halls for meetings in support of candidates standing in this month's local government elections.

The BNP, in applying for the halls, was exercising its rights under the Representation of the People Act, which requires that local authorities at all times make available hire facilities for meeting halls in cases where the meetings are being held in support of candidates in elections of any kind. This month the BNP will be standing candidates in local government elections in London, Liverpool, Birmingham, Leeds and Bradford. Applications for meeting halls were made to Liverpool and Bradford City Councils and to the Inner London Education Authority, which body is responsible for all school halls in the Inner London area. In the case of the London meeting the BNP applied for a hall in the Holy Trinity Ward of Tower Hamlets Borough, where its candidate, David Ettridge, is contesting a seat.

The granting of halls for hire should be a mere formality, attended to by clerical workers of the authority concerned. In the case of Bradford, the issue was put to the City Council and the Council decided, by a bare 10-9 majority, that it had no alternative but to comply with the law and grant the BNP its booking. Enraged, the Labour faction on the Council, which had voted solidly against the granting of the booking, announced that it would join with other groups in the city in mounting a massive 'counter-demonstration' aimed at preventing the meeting taking place. Later the Council made the absurd condition that it would draw lots to determine who would be allowed into the meeting — a

condition the BNP was bound to refuse.

When the hall application was put in to Liverpool Council by the candidate, Ian Sloan, who will be contesting Warbreck Ward, the Council office did everything possible to 'stall' on granting the booking, inventing quite ridiculous excuses, such as the need to get a certain official's signature on a document, the official in question conveniently not being available until a number of days later. Finally, when this excuse had run out the Council came back and told the BNP that all the halls in the area in question were now booked for every date up till polling day!

The BNP had a similar experience with the Inner London Education Authority (ILEA). Again there were delaying tactics, taking up precious days that were needed to organise, plan and advertise the meeting. Finally, ILEA came back and said that for all the days then remaining until the election all school halls in the ward in question were fully booked!

I.L.E.A. TRIES TO LIE ITS WAY OUT

The BNP knew this to be a downright lie, as one of its officials, Richard Edmonds, had sometime beforehand contacted the caretaker of one school and obtained from him an assurance that the school hall was not booked on the date being applied for.

As we go to the printers, the position is that legal action failed to induce Liverpool Council to grant the BNP its booking. The action against ILEA in London, however, was successful and the meeting went ahead. Readers will find a report of this event on our back page.

Whatever that outcome is, one thing has been proved once again beyond dispute: that 'democracy' in Britain today is an utter fraud and a racket. While the people are brainwashed by the political parties and their leaders into thinking they live in a society in which 'free speech' and 'freedom of assembly' are inalienable rights, the reality is that in the case of any political group strongly frowned upon by the 'establishment' that establishment will do everything in its power to prevent such rights being exercised.

It proves another thing too: the establishment is petrified of the challenge represented by the BNP and wants to deny the people any chance of hearing what the BNP has to say!

We have said it many times before: 'democracy' is a bankrupt system. Not only has it ruined this country but it is even frightened to put its own professed principles into practice!

Libya folly

Premier puts British lives at risk in Zionist vendetta against Gaddafi

BRITISH NATIONALIST has always denounced the deplorable international terrorism, originating from Middle Eastern sources and aimed at targets in the West, which has developed in recent years — against which, it should be emphasised, no Western Government, British or other, has ever taken steps that were either adequate or even appropriate. But this in no way means that we view Reagan's Libya raid with approval, involving as this did Thatcher's reduction of Britain to the status of Reagan's poodle over the whole affair, in the style of grovelling servility which typifies her conduct of relations with the United States.

The American action against Libya has been presented to the general public as a retaliation for the alleged Libyan support for recent terrorist attacks in the Mediterranean, Europe and America. In actual fact no satisfactory evidence whatever has been produced that Gaddafi's Libya was the sole, or even major, villain behind these acts of terror. Reagan claims that his CIA advisers told him that there was 'concrete evidence' of Gaddafi's complicity in the bombing of the Berlin club, but precisely what that 'evidence' was has not been disclosed. What is known is that the CIA works in extremely close collaboration with the Israeli secret service Mossad — so much so that the two agencies are virtually branches of the same organisation. The 'impartiality' of the CIA on Middle Eastern matters, therefore, cannot really be relied upon!

Despite this lack of evidence concerning Gaddafi's responsibility for the terrorist attacks which have provided the pretext for the US raids on Tripoli and Benghazi, and — most importantly — without the slightest regard for Britain's interests, the Prime Minister instantly put Britain at Reagan's disposal. Unquestioningly, she gave permission for US bombers to launch their operation from British bases which, by international agreement, are intended for use solely for the defence of Britain and Western Europe.

Against this background, and the hysteria generated in the mass media, thinking Britons should not allow themselves to be blinded as to the



REAGAN'S POODLE

By allowing, against Britain's interest, bases in this country to be used for attacks on Libya, the Prime Minister has demonstrated once again her grovelling subservience to the United States

nature and identity of other powerful interests which have stood to gain by Reagan's Libyan raid. Nor should we underrate the extent to which Britain's security and wellbeing have been put at risk for the benefit of those interests.

A strong clue as to who are the true beneficiaries is to be had from the



THE PRESIDENT

Shown here wearing a yarmulka on a visit to a synagogue in California, Reagan has always been a willing tool of Jewish interests

Jewish press. Following the US bombing raids on Libya, the *Jewish Chronicle*, principal organ of the Jewish Community in Britain, described most Israelis, including President Chaim Herzog, the Government and other

Contd. overleaf

Government 'still plans' to sell off Leyland to Americans



The Government is still determined to sell off British Leyland to General Motors, according to a newspaper report last month.

This paper will continue to rally public opinion against this betrayal of British industry.



BRITISH VICTIMS

Philip Padfield (centre) and John Leigh Douglas (right) were two Britons killed in reprisals for the American raid on Libya. They lie here alongside an American citizen, Peter Kilburn (left), also murdered

TIME FOR US TO HIT BACK

BY what amounts to a process of mass bribery, the British, along with other White Western peoples, have over the past 40 years been induced to surrender the hard substance of political power in the world in return for material comfort and self-indulgence. This mass corruption, the normal technique of western democracy, has had a serious softening effect on our people, for which they are today just starting to pay a heavy price.

Not long ago Britain was the centre of the greatest empire on earth. That empire did not disappear through external attack or through revolutionary uprisings among its coloured races; it fell to pieces through a collapse of will in Britain itself.

To begin with, this collapse of will took hold of Britain's feeble, corrupt, decadent and increasingly unfit ruling establishment, whose members had started to sell out the nation's interest piecemeal even before the last war. Then in the post-war years the politicians of the establishment set to work to soften up the mass of the population.

Britain made her last throw of the dice as an imperial power in 1956 at Suez, first going to attack Nasser, then subsequently caving in to the pressure of America to withdraw. This debacle was followed by the accession to office of Harold MacMillan, memorable for his cynical appeal to mass greed and materialism, symbolised in the phrase: "You've never had it so good!" This exposed the deal that the electorate were being offered: they were to get increasing material well-being in exchange for accepting the renunciation of an effective existence as a world power and, ultimately, even as a nation at all.

Britain shrank in a few short years from world empire to small island.

In the process her people were morally and psychologically disarmed, reduced from a cohesive national unit with a common national purpose to a disorganised, leaderless rabble of self-seeking individuals. This collapse of national will which had brought about the renunciation of empire left the mass of the population in such a divided and enfeebled condition that they were without the capacity even to defend their own national territory.

This decline has only served to win the contempt of our nation's enemies, who, having once respected us, have in recent years been pleased to use us as a doormat. Today we have aliens in our midst who are quite happy to live off the fat of our land while reviling and insulting it.

The 'liberal-democratic' system, with its philosophy of materialism and selfishness represented by all our established political leaders and parties, has corrupted and crippled our country and abandoned its people. This system is impotent to do anything to counter the looming disaster now facing Britain as a nation, disaster on the economic front and disaster in the form of growing social and civic strife.

The system has failed us and must go. Now is the time for decent patriotic white British people to shake off their lethargy, take matters into their own hands and fight in defence of their blood and honour for the recovery of nation and race.

NOW IS THE TIME FOR US TO
HIT BACK HARD!

ASIAN GANGSTERISM SPREADS

RECENTLY this paper reported on the development of large-scale criminal gangsterism and gang warfare in the Asian enclaves which have been set up in Britain. It now appears, from a leaked Scotland Yard dossier, that Asian street gangs dealing in drugs, protection and prostitution number thousands of youths as their members. These are predominantly Sikhs, but also include Hindus — particularly in the Wembley and Willesden areas of North West London.

The warfare between these gangs is now admitted by official sources to have spread across London from Southall, through the West End, to the Asian-dominated Kent town of Gravesend. It also extends beyond London as far afield as Leicester, Birmingham and Wolverhampton.

The two principal gangs involved call themselves the 'Holy Smokes' and the 'Tooti-Nungs', the latter name being said to be a corruption of the word 'Nihang', the name of a caste of Sikh brigands, infamous for their aggressive and bloodthirsty nature, who terrorised the Punjab

region of India between the 17th and 19th centuries. Police believe there is some evidence that ritual rape is a part of the Tooti-Nung initiation ceremony for new members.

Over the past 20 or more years, Southall, the original centre of gang activity, has been allowed to become something of a Sikh stronghold.

The existence of the gangs first came to the attention of the police in 1983, with outbreaks of

Pathetic weakness of old party politicians exploited

violence between the Holy Smokes and Tooti-Nungs. Both are said to be financing themselves by means of drug deals, robbery and extortion.

Although organised crime is the current pre-occupation of these Asian gangs, their potential for political involvement is not discounted. It is conceivable that, as race war looms in Britain, the clashes between the rival gangs will be liable to

assume struggles for the leadership of the Asian community in the growing racial confrontation with white British society. The rank and file of Southall's Asians are said, for the present, to be standing back passively as the conflict in their midst develops, either from fear of the gangs' violence and power or from sheer lack of interest. Whatever the reasons, it would seem not unnatural to assume that the victors in this struggle will dominate the mass of the Asian population as its most dynamic element and take the lead in the intensifying clash with the Whites.

The reality of this threat has already become evident. The Holy Smokes claim to have formed

including Asians and some Blacks, succeeded in silencing him after beating and kicking him and breaking his finger. The Conservative students he was addressing showed themselves completely incapable of protecting their own meeting and their guest speaker.

The attackers were connected with the Bradford-based 'Asian Youth Movement', an organisation well known for its proneness to violence against any Whites it considers 'racist'. The AYM receives a grant from local public funds.

The aggressive and self-confident assertiveness of these violent Asian elements functions against a background of massive growth in coloured immigrant numbers, both through very high birthrates and continuing immigration, which the Tories do nothing to stop and the Labour Party eagerly encourages. It is now reported that Bangladeshis are arriving in the London borough of Tower Hamlets at such a rate that their numbers far exceed the local capacity to house them.

It is now reported that white youth in the Hounslow area, bordering Southall, are taking steps physically to defend themselves and their neighbourhoods. This is some measure of the pressure that the White Community feels itself to be under from this alien presence.

The group has, needless to say, been arrested and charged — which simply underlines our view that the only effective form of resistance to the alien threat is political action, by the lawful process and through the movement of British Nationalism.

NO PROTECTION

The threat from this gangsterism is all the greater on account of the weakness of the old party politicians in the face of it. An example of this can be seen in a recent incident in Bradford.

Tory MP John Carlisle was assaulted at the university while attempting to deliver a speech on the right of British sportsmen to have normal contacts with South Africa. His assailants,

ASIAN MILITANCY

Asians on march (left) in protest against Tory bill for restriction of immigration some years ago, which was pathetically inadequate — today Tories are trying desperately to toady to Asian voters. On right, the Hambrough Tavern in Southall, gutted by Asian gang in 1981



LIBYA FOLLY

(Contd. from prev. page)

politicians and the general public, as being "delighted" over President Reagan's action.

Yet at the same time the *Jewish Chronicle* report made plain the futility of the action in terms of its professed objective of putting an end to terrorism. "Gaddafi," it pointed out, "has not been brought down, and innocent lives have been lost."

Even in the United States, where the Zionist-controlled mass media have whipped up mindless mob enthusiasm for Reagan's raid on Libya, there is said to be much fear that its actual effect may be to spark off even more terrorism.

Gaddafi is by no means universally popular within the Arab world. Many Arab governments would be pleased to see his overthrow. But such acts as the Reagan attack are only likely to have the effect of making those governments feel the need to close ranks with Gaddafi in an Arab solidarity directed against the United States and other Western nations, most notably Britain, who have allowed themselves to be used as US auxiliaries.

Britain has simply been exploited by a foreign power, with the full collaboration of her Prime Minister, in an operation the outcome of which bears no relationship to its professed objectives.

Meanwhile, as Jewish press reports show, the true beneficiary of the operation emerges clearly as the state of Israel.

GOOD ANGLO-ARAB RELATIONS NEEDED

There is no British interest to be gained from a clash with the Arab world, nor has there ever been. In days when the British Empire was powerful and secure, and when British foreign policy was based on a sound appreciation of national and imperial interests, our leaders understood the need for good Anglo-Arab relations. In modern times the Arab states, with an aggregate population of over 100 millions, have

been a major source of Western oil supplies, as well as occupying a strategically vital area of the earth. What held good for the past concerning our relations with the Arabs holds doubly good today.

But instead of an amicable Anglo-Arab relationship we have a relationship that has been poisoned for the past 40 years by Britain's support for Israel, in part the result of our servility to the United States, with its hugely powerful Jewish lobby, and in part the result of almost equally powerful Jewish influence over the politics of our own country.

Within the feeble structure of Western 'democracy', with its delicate balance of power between the competing parties, the Jewish minority element can almost dictate policy by throwing its considerable financial weight and the weight of its power in the mass media behind whichever party or presidential candidate is most willing to adopt a pro-Zionist policy.

In fact, all the parties and presidential candidates have been falling over themselves to please the Jews in order to get their support, but it is the party or candidate that goes furthest towards giving the Jews what they want that gets their backing.

Of course, no comparable Arab lobby exists within any of these West-

ern countries, least of all the United States and Britain.

The need to please the Arabs therefore forms no part of the policy decisions of parties or presidential candidates.

Western support for Israel has cost, and will continue to cost, us dearly. In the aftermath of Reagan's raid it is Britain and America who stand to be Israel's 'fall guys' in the looming Arab-Western confrontation. And as the Arabs turn their hostility with even greater intensity towards us, the pressure is correspondingly taken off Israel.


As British Nationalists, we naturally hold that our Government should be prepared to undertake and follow through to the end any conflict that is in Britain's interest, and this includes the struggle against terrorism.

But we do not believe in a policy that selectively concentrates its attention against Arab terrorism while ignoring Israeli terrorism and overlooking the fact that it is Israel that has given rise to Arab terrorism.

ZIONIST TERROR AGAINST BRITISH

When it comes to the matter of terrorism, it should never be forgotten that the Jewish state was brought into being on Palestinian soil largely as a result of a vicious terrorist murder campaign against British administrators, soldiers and police during the time of the Mandate in the 1940s — a campaign backed up by organised world Jewry, one of whose leading representatives, Ben Hecht, made the charming observation that he enjoyed "a little holiday in my heart" every time a British soldier or policeman was killed by the Israeli terror squads.

Nor has America, despite all its efforts to appease the Jews, been immune to the same terror. During Israel's 1967 war with its Arab neighbours, a US Navy intelligence-gathering vessel, the USS Liberty, was deliberately and cold-bloodedly attacked by Israeli jets, with cannon fire and napalm, and by torpedo boats in support, on the orders of Israel's then Defence Minister, General Moshe Dayan. The Liberty had been monitor-



ISRAELI OUTRAGE
Damaged UUS Liberty returns to
port after attack

DEATH AID

GUS McLEOD exposes the groups providing support for terrorism in Ulster

OVER THE PAST 18 MONTHS the media have kept us informed on the comings and goings of various organisations, such as 'Live Aid', 'Fashion Aid', etc., set up to help the needy and starving (in Africa of course!), along with other causes beloved by the modern liberal establishment.

While all this has been going on, another type of aid, operating on an international scale, has scarcely rated a mention from press or television. This is what can only be termed 'Death Aid', given by those groups set up to support the Republican cause in Northern Ireland.

The best known of the IRA's overseas fund-raising concerns is North American Aid to Ulster (Noraid), which operates mainly from the Eastern seaboard cities of the USA, where the bulk of the Irish-American population is situated.

FRONT MAN

Noraid's front man and editor of its *Irish People* newsletter is Martin Galvin, a lawyer, who, when not in the political sewer with his IRA chums, works (appropriately enough) for the New York City sanitation department.

It is Galvin who organises the annual tours in which wealthy Noraid supporters are paraded up and down the Falls Road, treated to a few stage-managed 'events' and then sent back stateside with tales of the old country ("That's me, Elmer, next to the anti-imperialist freedom-fighter with the Russian rifle!") and the answer to the Irish 'problem', courtesy of their Republican hosts of course, at their fingertips.

This seedy little organisation first came to public attention when, in 1984, Galvin defied a government banning order and appeared at a Republican rally in

West Belfast. As the security forces moved in to arrest him a riot ensued in which a Republican supporter was fatally struck by a plastic bullet. While this was going on, Galvin showed the bravery we have come to associate with his ilk by taking to his heels and employing the well-worn IRA escape route across the border to safe haven in Eire.

Noraid claims that all the money it raises goes to help prisoners and their families and not the IRA. But how do they know what becomes of the £250,000 collected annually once it leaves the USA? And even if what they say is true, wouldn't this leave the IRA a quarter of a million of their own money, otherwise going to help terrorists' families, to spend on their staple diet of bombs, bullets, destruction and death?

Another pro-Republican organisation active in the New York area is the Irish National Caucus (INC), a group of American politicians who have been pressuring the New York City Council to limit its \$250 million investment in 13 US multi-national concerns currently operating in Ulster — along with, in the wake of the Anglo-Irish Agreement, a £170 million American investment package for Northern Ireland.

Many INC members believe that any cancellation or failure of the deal (on Britain's part) will in the future lead to the US State Department imposing sanctions, akin to those presently used against South Africa, to 'protect' US investments in Britain.

BRITAIN INVITING BLACKMAIL

With successive British Governments following policies allowing multi-nationals to set up as and where they like, and encouraging unlimited foreign take-overs of British industry, they can hardly expect to be

engulfed in a wave of sympathy for leaving themselves open to this form of political blackmail.

While many of the politicians are somewhat reticent about giving open and total support to the Republican movement, the Church has no such qualms.

Irish Cardinal Thomas O'Fee, addressing a conference in Boston, Massachusetts last year, stated that "Partition is the crux of the Northern Ireland problem," and that a united Ireland was "inevitable." When not appearing on TV calling for the withdrawal of British troops from Ulster, O'Fee has been busy forging links with the Irish-American Unity Conference (IAUC), a group formed to force the US Government to support any call for a united Ireland.

SUPPORT FROM CHURCH

The Roman Catholic Bishop of New York, John O'Connor, called in a sermon last March for "an end to the oppression of British rule." Later, from his cathedral steps, he reviewed the city's St. Patrick's Day parade, which included countless IRA support-



ONE SMALL VICTIM OF THE BOMBERS
Heartbroken father carries the coffin of his tiny child, murdered by IRA Gelignite and Noraid money

ers, including the Grand Marshal of the parade Peter King, as well as the city's Jewish Mayor, the ubiquitous Ed Koch, who misses no opportunity to cadge the Irish vote.

Another prominent figure who has popped up spreading Republican propaganda in the United States is former MP Gerry (now Lord) Fitt. This lover of 'democracy', who once described Stormont MPs as "bigots and bastards", has lectured in major American cities as a guest of the Equal Rights in Northern Ireland Society (ERIN).

Meanwhile, across the border in Canada self-confessed IRA supporter Sean Floyd, while boss of a Toronto teamsters union, blackmailed local businessmen for thousands of dollars to ensure



I.R.A. FUNERAL

These provocative events take place regularly in Northern Ireland right under the noses of the security forces. Except very rarely, the latter are forbidden by order of government to take any action.

that there would be no strikes, stoppages or similar industrial disputes. He has been active in the North American Republican movement for a number of years and it is widely believed that he had a hand in the 'laundering' of IRA money earmarked for the purchase of arms on the Continent.

Floyd is also thought to be one of the organisers of the ill-fated

Republican groups are smoke-screens for the activities of the far left. The Troops Out movement (Socialist Workers' Party), Irish Freedom Movement (Revolutionary Communist Party) and Irish Solidarity Group (Communist Party) are very active, mainly in student circles, in the promotion of pro-IRA propaganda, including the organisation of film shows, seminars and protest marches.

A more 'respectable' group is the Militant-backed Labour Committee on Ireland (LCI). This group brings together such luminary figures as Sinn Fein stooge Ken Livingstone and pro-IRA MPs such as Jeremy Corbyn, who has in the past invited convicted Republican bombers into the House of Commons. The LCI was represented on this year's 'Bloody Sunday' commemoration march in London, an event organised by the Co-ordinating Committee for British Withdrawal from Ireland, an umbrella organisation set up to unite all the leftist groups in a call for a united Ireland.

WINNING PROPAGANDA WAR

If these facts give the impression that the IRA and their lackeys are winning the psychological and propaganda war, we should not be surprised. With both Labour and Liberal conferences having had resolutions calling for a united Ireland and a Tory Government which clearly lacks the will to tackle Republican terror, while being prepared to sign such a treacherous deal as the Anglo-Irish Agreement, can we really expect anything else?

What is happening in Ulster today is part of a larger plan to destabilise Britain and bring it firmly under the internationalist yoke. As internationalism is the enemy of nationalism, so Ulster's enemies are Britain's enemies. We must be prepared to expose and confront them wherever they surface.

For Ulster's sake and for Britain's sake, **we must not fail!**

YOUR LETTERS

Sir, — It was with a sense of exhilaration and pride that I watched Giotto's close encounter with Halley's Comet on TV recently. Everything about the event seemed to be British in some way.

It was pleasing to see that the spacecraft itself was built in Filton, Bristol, while the software used at the control centre in Darmstadt, Germany, was provided by a firm in Surrey.

GRAHAM LITTLE (Aged 16)
Bishopston, Renfrewshire

Sir, — I note with amusement that, following the nuclear plant disaster at Chernobyl in the Ukraine, the Soviet Government is being bitterly attacked for the secrecy in which it has shrouded the affair, this being contrasted with the 'openness' in the way Western Governments operate.

This is rank hypocrisy. Western governments, including our own, employ equal lack of 'openness' when dealing with affairs involving what they regard as state security or political 'sensitivity'. Just one example is the refusal to release for public examination numerous government papers of the pre-war and wartime period, in particular those concerning the flight of Hess to Britain and his subsequent imprisonment.

Our 'democratic' leaders at least as many things they want hidden in the closet as their Soviet counterparts. Those who live in glass-houses should not throw stones!

G.D. FRANCIS
Weston-super-Mare, Avon

We were spoofed!

After carrying a front-page headline last month with the words 'The great spoof', we find that we were ourselves spoofed over certain matters relating to the main story. In the first place we used a photograph of GLC loony Ken Livingstone presiding over a meeting that we presumed to be a session of the GLC, or at least one of its sub-committees. In the event we are informed that the picture was one of Red Ken sitting with some 'pop group'. Well, if a leading politician is stupid enough to be photographed in such situations he must sometimes expect such errors to occur, and, after all, the GLC was such a circus under his rule that it didn't look too different to the collection of freaks in the photo we printed.

At least it can be said of our paper that, unlike the others, we only publish an untruth inadvertently and when we find out we have been wrong we print a correction!

ENGLAND IN THE WEST INDIES: A TYPICAL CASE?

OUR PAPER usually leaves comment on sporting events to sports magazines or the sporting pages of the big newspapers.

But we must say something about the recent debacle of England's cricket tour of the West Indies, a tour ending in a whitewash by the West Indies of England with the score 5-0.

The England team that went out to the West Indies had enough good players to provide reason to hope that it might beat the West Indies X1, or at least give them a good run for their money.

In the outcome, England were not just beaten but humiliated. Their players did not play to anything like their true ability. They did not even put up a fight.

Much of this was due to the way the team was handled off the field. Wives were permitted to accompany the tourists. Net practice was made optional and many players did not turn up but went surfing or fishing instead. There is evidence of booing on the part of some of

the players. In the meantime, on the field players on occasion acted contrary to the captain's instructions and were allowed to get away with it.

In short, the England cricket team was nothing better than a rabble.

What was the cause of this? Just plain absence of leadership.

The team captain, David Gower, is a highly skilled and capable batsman — about that there is no dispute.

But he is also a wimpish personality, with a laissez-faire approach to life and no authority whatever over his players.

Manager Tony Brown is a nice chap, but no autocrat either. He did little in the way of imposing his authority on the players to make up for the lack of captaincy from Gower.

Everything was all very 'democratic', and England had the hide tanned off them.

Wasn't the performance of England's cricketers in the West Indies a microcosm of Great Britain today



GOWER (WITH FIANCE)
He ran team 'democratically'

in every walk of life?

No order, no discipline, no authority, no leadership, no example, no pride.

This is how 'liberal democracy' rots a country. Just a little bit of the rot we saw on and off the cricket fields in Trinidad, Jamaica, Barbados and Antigua this Winter. Let's hope that some lessons have been learned — and not just by our cricket administrators!

BNP thrash reds in Tower Hamlets

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY won two smashing victories in London in early May, firstly a legal one against the Inner London Education Authority (ILEA) by which the latter was obliged to allow the party the hire of a school hall in Tower Hamlets area for a meeting in support of its candidate for the local borough council, and secondly a triumph over the red mob who, incensed at the court's decision to grant the BNP meeting facilities, turned up at the meeting in question in order to wreck it.

The meeting took place at John Scurr School in the Holy Trinity Ward of Tower Hamlets. Because it was not known whether it would be on or off until the afternoon of the day before, it was very difficult to notify members in time. As a result the numbers in attendance were not very high — about 50 being present.

In accordance with the provisions of the Representation of the People Act, under which the hall hire was obligatory, the BNP was obliged to allow its opponents into the meeting. From a mob of about 200 assorted freaks that had assembled outside to counter-demonstrate, approximately 50 were allowed into the meeting, 100 being the limit of the hall's seating capacity.

It was clear right from the start that the opposition had one object in mind: to stop the meeting. As soon as chairman for the day David Bruce arose to speak the red rabble let forth a chorus of chanting and screaming abuse which

made it quite impossible for the speaker to be heard.

Mr. Bruce thereupon gave two warnings to the mob that if they continued they would be ejected. At this time there were only two or three police officers in the hall, with a much larger number in the yard outside.

When the warnings were not heeded BNP stewards set about throwing the mob out. A battle royal ensued for a

few minutes but in the end the mob were completely routed and their presence from the meeting removed. This was done, on this occasion, with only minimal police participation, the vast bulk of the work being performed by BNP members.

The meeting then proceeded to a successful conclusion, with a first rate collection of over £100, considering the modest number present.

The meeting and riot subsequently received extensive coverage over LBC radio and in the local papers.

BROMLEY MEN WIN SMASHING VICTORY OVER RACE INQUISITORS

Charges against Alf Waite and Andrew Shotton thrown out

BROMLEY BNP members Alf Waite and Andrew Shotton won a smashing victory at Maidstone Crown Court on April 30th, when the two were acquitted on charges of 'race hate' brought under Section 5a of the Public Order Act. By a majority of 10-2 the jury found the BNP men **not guilty** on all counts.

Mr. Waite, the Branch Organiser, and Mr. Shotton had been distributing copies of the party's local newsletter *Counter Attack* when a complaint was lodged with the police and the charges were brought, one of which related to the publishing of the material and the other to its distribution.

The case received considerable publicity, particularly in the *Bromley Leader*, a local free-issue rag especially venomous towards the BNP. Following the court's verdict, the *Leader* devoted the whole of its front page to a wail of protest that the two BNP men had been let off, getting so carried away at one

point that it equated the BNP literature in question to propaganda in support of paedophiles!

The press tried to give the impression that the vast majority of people in Bromley 'abhorred' the BNP message but in fact Mr. Waite got the very opposite impression in the many congratulatory and supportive telephone calls he received after the event.

This now makes a total of 8 BNP members charged with race offences in recent times and 4 acquitted. These futile charges have involved consider-

able public expense, and the taxpayer, who of course foots the bill for the increasingly expensive race relations industry, is entitled to ask just how much more public money is going to be thrown down the drain in the attempt to enforce a law that is detested by the majority of British people.

But for the moment, congratulations to Alf and Andrew for dealing the race inquisitors a blow in the groin!



ALF WAITE

THE SAVIOURS OF BRITAIN: A CLOSE-UP

IT SEEMS that the National Front, having for years been preaching class warfare, is now itself being torn apart by that very tendency — hoist, as it were, on its own petard.

A squabble appears to have developed within the party between two factions, one regarding itself as the 'street activists' and moved by a kind of 'working class' chauvinism, and the other being designated as the 'middle class' intellectuals, who write articles for the party's publications but, according to their opponents, are not often seen on the scene of grass roots activity. One particular cause for antagonism, apparently, is the recent decision of the Front's journalistic, printing and publishing fraternity to transfer the base of their operations from the London area to the peaceful seclusion of East Anglia.

Things came to a head last month when a gang representing the 'street activist' element proceeded to a pub in East London with the intention of having a showdown with the intellectuals, who were known to be meeting for a drink there. Some indication of what sort of showdown was in mind can be gleaned from the fact that among the 'equipment' being carried by the 'street activists' was a canister of ammonia.

The two factions met and a fight ensued. One of the 'intellectuals' had the misfortune to have ammonia squirted in his face. In another incident Mr. Ian Anderson, who, despite his Oxford background, appears to be aligned with the 'working class', 'street activist' faction, threw a punch at Patrick Harrington, one of the 'intellectual' fraternity.

Not exactly surprisingly, both factions were thrown out of the pub and told never to come back.

The latest we hear is that Mr. Anderson is now facing charges of disorderly conduct under the party's disciplinary rules. The significance of this will be recognised when it is borne in mind that only a short time ago he completed a term of office as **party leader**!

Which of course says something about the judgement of those who nominated and chose him for that office and for the system that allowed him to be elected to it.

None of this causes us any surprise. Those of us who called at the start of the 1980s, with John Tyndall, for a reformed leadership system for the Front knew exactly the direction the party would

take when our call was rejected by the Directorate and we were driven to terminate our association with the NF. Our proposal was for a strong, centralised party authority concentrated in a single individual armed with full executive, policy-making and disciplinary powers under which undesirable elements in the party could be quickly and ruthlessly weeded out before they could do harm. We knew that failure to adopt this procedure would result in a party torn apart by factionalism and indiscipline and represented at the top by 'leaders' of increasingly dwarfish stature and diminished authority, as one followed another in rapid succession.

Six years on, we are able to say that events have proved us overwhelmingly right.

By contrast, we are able to point, in the BNP, to a party in which factionalism and internal strife are totally absent, which is orderly and disciplined, in which the quality of membership is extremely high and members are a credit to the cause wherever they go, and over which the authority of the leadership is firm and well established at all levels, national and local.

The National Front still contains some very good people, people we are glad to call our friends and allies in the struggle.

At the same time it is accurate to say that it also contains a considerable element of what might only be described as human flotsam.

The good people, with the very best of intentions, have remained loyal to the NF in accordance with the principle that 'the party is more important than individual leaders.'

The principle is a good one as far as it goes, but there is one thing that it ignores: **a party is made what it is by individual leaders.**

Those who have led the Front since 1980 have led it to division, class war, internal mutiny, almost complete loss of public credibility and almost total political impotence.

Now the process has reached the level of undignified farce as prominent Front personnel, including party bosses, vent their hatred of each other in squalid little gang brawls.

It's truly pathetic, but at least it might have its bright side if it induces the good elements in the Front to see the writing on the wall and join with us to bring about the unity of patriotic forces in Britain that their mini-chiefs have tried so hard over the past 6 years to prevent.

BNP Recordings

RALLY '82

Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 16th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'

Side 1: Includes speech by Charles Parker; Side 2: Speech by John Tyndall.

VOICES OF NATIONALISM

Side 1: Recordings of speeches from the Nationalist Unity rally in London on September 5th 1981. Hear John Tyndall, Kenneth McKilliam, Len Bearnford Walker and others. Side 2: Talk by John Tyndall on the coming British Revolution.

TYNDALL SPEAKS I

Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage' (about the world-wide dispersal of the peoples of British stock); Side 2: Talk on 'Britain's economic crisis'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS II

Side 1: Talk on 'The case for Nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished); Side 2: Talk on 'Tragedy of the 20th Century' (analysis of the results of the Second World War).

TYNDALL SPEAKS III

Side 1: 'Why we must repatriate' (theme self-explanatory); Side 2: 'Foundations of the national community' (analysis of rights and duties in a racial-nationalist state).

These 5 sets of recordings are presented in cassette form and are available at £3.50 each (with 18p postage) from: BNP Recordings, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of Nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20-page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 50p. Yearly subscription £8.00. 62p for sample copy to *Spearhead*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS

BUY BRITISH NATIONALIST IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order *only* in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20 + 34p post
25 copies £2.70 + 98p post
50 copies £4.80 + £1.72 post
100 copies £8.40 + £2.10 post
150 copies £12.00 + £2.30 post
200 copies £15.00 + £2.50 post
300 copies £21.00 + £2.65 post
400 copies £26.40 + £2.95 post
500 copies £32.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £62.00) by roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.45 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £3.00 is required on all foreign cheques.

Name

Address

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system which will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support of the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to black dictatorships.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The smashing of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repeal of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

Tick appropriate box

Please send me more information for which I enclose 20p. ☐

I wish to become a Full Member and enclose £6.00 (Half-rate for students and OAPs) ☐

I wish to become an Associate Member and enclose £3.00 (No half-rate) ☐

Name

Address

OUR PAPER opposes coloured immigration and multi-racialism. This does not mean that we 'hate' other races. Those who share our views should vent their anger at the politicians, not the immigrants.

Contact your local party unit

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY is organised into active units extending over most of the areas of the United Kingdom. Below we give a list of the local addresses of the main units:-

NORTH LONDON

PO Box 462, Greenwood Road, Hackney, London E8 4HH

WEST LONDON

PO Box 33, Greenford, Middx. UB6 8DS

SOUTH LONDON

PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS

Bromley

PO Box 457, London SE20 7QE

EAST KENT

73 Shirkoak Park, Woodchurch, Ashford

DEVON & CORNWALL

PO Box 75, Plymouth PL1 1SQ

EAST ANGLIA (NORTH)

A9 Johnson Place, Norwich NR2 2SA

EAST ANGLIA (SOUTH)

2 Albert Road, Framlingham, Suffolk

EAST MIDLANDS

PO Box 148, Leicester LE3 2YD

BIRMINGHAM

PO Box 771, Great Barr, Birmingham B44 9LZ

STOKE-ON-TRENT

PO Box 320, Tunstall, Stoke

LIVERPOOL

PO Box 72, Liverpool L69 8AJ

MANCHESTER

PO Box 16, Salford M6 5EP

YORKSHIRE

Leeds & Bradford

PO Box BR10, Bramley, Leeds 13

Wakefield

PO Box 42, Wakefield

Hull

PO Box 58, Hull HU6 7HR

TYNE & WEAR

PO Box 8, South Shields NE33 1LP

SCOTLAND

PO Box 85, Glasgow G3 8UL

The BNP also has units in West Kent, Basingstoke (Hants), Gloucestershire, Hertfordshire, Bedford, Cambridge, Devizes (Wilts), Brierley Hill (W. Midlands), Coventry, Burton-on-Trent, Bolton & Bury, Warrington, Halifax and Sheffield. If you want to make contact with any of these units, or start a new unit, please write to Party Head Office at: PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.